

REMARKS

Claims 1, 19 and 33 are objected to because of informalities. Claims 1, 19 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non- statutory subject matter. Applicant respectfully traverses the rejections.

Interview Summary

On June 17, 2008, Examiner Cheryl Lewis and Applicants' undersigned representative, Mr. Eiferman, participated in a telephonic interview. During the interview, Mr. Eiferman proposed the claim amendments herein. Examiner Lewis stated that these claim amendments appeared to overcome the rejections of record.

Claim Objections

Claim 1, 19 and 33 are hereby amended to correct informalities as suggested by the Office Action. In particular, claims 1, 19 and 33 are hereby amended to recite "whereby executing the binding statements according to the priority order enables efficient generation of the user interface," which is a final and useful result for the claimed subject matter.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 101

Claims 1, 19 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non- statutory subject matter. Claims 1, 19 and 33 are hereby amended to recite "whereby executing the binding statements according to the priority order enables efficient generation of the user interface," which is a final and useful result for the claimed subject matter. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 101 rejections are respectfully requested.

DOCKET NO.: 307340.01 / MSFT-3489
Application No.: 10/823,461
Office Action Dated: April 17, 2008

PATENT

CONCLUSION

In view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicants respectfully submit that the present application is in condition for allowance. Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested.

Date: July 17, 2008

/Kenneth R. Eiferman/
Kenneth R. Eiferman
Registration No. 51,647

Woodcock Washburn LLP
Cira Centre
2929 Arch Street, 12th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19104-2891
Telephone: (215) 568-3100
Facsimile: (215) 568-3439